

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Kim, Chan Ho MATH 230C LEC A (45540), Spring Qtr 2015

Responses: 10/27 (37.04%)

A. Please comment on the following areas and be as specific as possible:

1. What are the instructor's teaching strengths?

- . Organized in the long term plan (i.e. class lectures finished halfway through the quarter). Very good planning with this in regard . Available and understanding of the material and nuances in the material
- Clear explanations and comprehensive coverage.
- Knowledge of the material and very, very helpful and willing in office hours. Office hours helped me understand a lot of important concepts and problems.
- 7 blank answer(s).

2. How can this instructor improve as a teacher?

- . Take some more time to recall old topics / even the basics. It always helps. Not everyone has the same background in algebra. Some have strong backgrounds, but some also have weaker backgrounds and it would help a lot for those with weaker backgrounds (I consider myself of weaker background). It made learning new material less enlightening. . Sometimes your reactions to our answers / questions are casual which (to me or most people) is okay - but may not be okay for everyone. This pertains mainly to actual questions on the material (as opposed to what your math shirt means or something).
- It would be better with a slower tempo for teaching progress.
- 8 blank answer(s).

3. Any other comments about this course?

- . It would be nice to use Dummit and Foote still (even though it is not preferred for you). It's odd to not have a sense of our course material with respect to at least some textbook.
- Dr. Kim gave us interesting problems to work on. I'm glad I got to take this course with him!
- 8 blank answer(s).

B. Please choose the appropriate rating on the letter grade scale A to F:

'A' indicating an excellent and 'F' indicating a wholly inadequate performance. If you have no opinion on the question asked or if it does not apply, please select NA.

4. The course instructor shows enthusiasm for and is interested in the subject.

8	A	Value: 4
2	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.94	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.12	Std Dev	

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Kim, Chan Ho MATH 230C LEC A (45540), Spring Qtr 2015

5. The course instructor stimulates your interest in the subject.

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
1	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.84	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.39	Std Dev	

6. The course instructor meets stated objectives of the course.

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
1	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.90	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.22	Std Dev	

7. The course instructor is accessible and responsive.

8	A	Value: 4
2	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.94	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.12	Std Dev	

8. The course instructor creates an open and fair learning environment.

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Kim, Chan Ho MATH 230C LEC A (45540), Spring Qtr 2015

8	A	Value: 4
2	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.94	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.12	Std Dev	

9. The course instructor encourages students to think in this course.

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
1	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.90	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.22	Std Dev	

10. The course instructor's presentations and explanations of concepts were clear.

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
1	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.77	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.60	Std Dev	

11. Assignments and exams covered important aspects of the course.

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Kim, Chan Ho MATH 230C LEC A (45540), Spring Qtr 2015

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
1	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.90	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.22	Std Dev	

12. What overall grade would you give this instructor?

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
0	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
1	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.80	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.51	Std Dev	

13. What overall grade would you give this course?

8	A	Value: 4
1	A-	Value: 3.7
0	B+	Value: 3.3
1	B	Value: 3
0	B-	Value: 2.7
0	C+	Value: 2.3
0	C	Value: 2
0	C-	Value: 1.7
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.87	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.30	Std Dev	

C. Please answer:

14. Based on completed assignments thus far, what is your current course grade or approximate standing?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Final Evaluation (CTEF) for Kim, Chan Ho MATH 230C LEC A (45540), Spring Qtr 2015

7	A	Value: 4
3	B	Value: 3
0	C	Value: 2
0	D	Value: 1
0	F	Value: 0
0	NA	No Value
3.70	Mean	
4.00	Median	
0.46	Std Dev	

15. How much academic dishonesty seemed to occur in this course? If applicable, please describe the type of academic dishonesty that occurred (not the particular students involved).

1.
 - 1** A lot
 - 0** Some
 - 0** A little
 - 9** None I could discern

2. Examples:
 - 10 blank answer(s).

16. How helpful were the textbooks and/or readings to your overall learning experience?

- 5** Very
- 5** Adequately
- 0** Somewhat
- 0** Not at all

17. How challenging was this course?

- 4** Very
- 6** Adequately
- 0** Somewhat
- 0** Not at all